

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,459	07/22/2003	Shuichi Mizuno	3831.03	2554
HANIA VEDNI	7590 04/20/2007		EXAM	IINER
HANA VERNY PETERS, VERNY, JONES & SCHMITT, L.L.P.			NAFF, DAVID M	
SUITE 230 425 SHERMAN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO,			1657	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
21 [)AVS	. 04/20/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,459	MIZUNO ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	David M. Naff	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	January 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9,13-17,19 and 21-37</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 4-9, 13-17, 19 and 21-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ian priority under 25 H S C	8 110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 0.5.0.	9 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application				

٤

Application/Control Number: 10/626,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 1657

5

10

15

25

Election/Restrictions

An amendment of 1/30/07 amended claims 4, 6, 7, 19, 22 and 23, canceled claim 12, and added new claims 29-37.

Claims in the application are 4-9, 13-17, 19 and 21-37, which are all claims in the application.

Claim 13 depends on canceled claim 12, which depended on claim 23. Therefore, claim 13 is considered to depend on claim 23.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 4-9, 13-17, 21, 23-28 and 30-37, drawn to a method for treatment of a cartilage lesion and for formation of a superficial cartilage layer, classified in class 424, subclass 426.
 - II. Claims 19,22 and 29, drawn to a method for treatment of a cartilage lesion and for formation of a superficial cartilage layer, classified in class 424, subclass 422.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j).

In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are different methods

Application/Control Number: 10/626,459

Art Unit: 1657

10

15

20

25

such that each can be performed without the other. The method of invention I does not require steps a)-f) or a)-g) required by the claims of the methods of invention II. The method of invention II does not require a neo-cartilage construct prepared by any method, and a top sealant selected from components as required by the method of invention I. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Page 3

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence

Application/Control Number: 10/626,459

Art Unit: 1657

10

15

or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,459

Art Unit: 1657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657 Page 5

DMN 4/17/07

10

15